REVIEW



Open Access

Roles of histone deacetylases in epigenetic regulation: emerging paradigms from studies with inhibitors

Geneviève P Delcuve, Dilshad H Khan and James R Davie*

Abstract

The zinc-dependent mammalian histone deacetylase (HDAC) family comprises 11 enzymes, which have specific and critical functions in development and tissue homeostasis. Mounting evidence points to a link between misregulated HDAC activity and many oncologic and nononcologic diseases. Thus the development of HDAC inhibitors for therapeutic treatment garners a lot of interest from academic researchers and biotechnology entrepreneurs. Numerous studies of HDAC inhibitor specificities and molecular mechanisms of action are ongoing. In one of these studies, mass spectrometry was used to characterize the affinities and selectivities of HDAC inhibitors toward native HDAC multiprotein complexes in cell extracts. Such a novel approach reproduces in vivo molecular interactions more accurately than standard studies using purified proteins or protein domains as targets and could be very useful in the isolation of inhibitors with superior clinical efficacy and decreased toxicity compared to the ones presently tested or approved. HDAC inhibitor induced-transcriptional reprogramming, believed to contribute largely to their therapeutic benefits, is achieved through various and complex mechanisms not fully understood, including histone deacetylation, transcription factor or regulator (including HDAC1) deacetylation followed by chromatin remodeling and positive or negative outcome regarding transcription initiation. Although only a very low percentage of protein-coding genes are affected by the action of HDAC inhibitors, about 40% of noncoding microRNAs are upregulated or downregulated. Moreover, a whole new world of long noncoding RNAs is emerging, revealing a new class of potential targets for HDAC inhibition. HDAC inhibitors might also regulate transcription elongation and have been shown to impinge on alternative splicing.

Keywords: histone deacetylase, HDAC, HDAC inhibitors, HDAC complexes, gene expression, noncoding RNAs, epigenetics

Introduction

Acetylation of the lysine ε -amino group, first discovered on histones, is a dynamic posttranslational modification (PTM) regulated by the opposing activities of lysine acetyltransferases (KATs) and histone deacetylases (HDACs). Histone acetylation is a modulator of chromatin structure involved in DNA replication, DNA repair, heterochromatin silencing and gene transcription [1,2]. Hyperacetylation usually marks transcriptionally active genes, as it contributes to the decondensed chromatin state and maintains the unfolded structure of the transcribed nucleosome [2-6]. Moreover, specific acetylated

* Correspondence: davie@cc.umanitoba.ca

sites on core histones are read by bromodomain modules found in proteins, and sometimes in KATs, which are components of chromatin-remodeling complexes involved in transcriptional activation [7]. Conversely, HDACs are found in corepressor complexes and, by removing acetyl groups from histones, induce the formation of a compacted, transcriptionally repressed chromatin structure. As discussed below, however, this model reflects quite an oversimplification of the role of HDACs in transcription regulation.

Many nonhistone proteins (transcription factors, regulators of DNA repair, recombination and replication, chaperones, viral proteins and others) are also subject to acetylation [8-10]. Investigators in a recent study used high-resolution mass spectrometry to identify 3,600



© 2012 Delcuve et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Manitoba Institute of Cell Biology, University of Manitoba, 675 McDermot Avenue, Winnipeg, MB, R3E 0V9, Canada

acetylation sites in 1,750 human proteins and showed that lysine acetylation is implicated in the regulation of nearly all nuclear functions and many cytoplasmic processes [11]. Furthermore, acetylation is regulated by and/or regulates other PTMs. Through either recruitment or occlusion of binding proteins, PTMs may lead to or prevent a secondary PTM on histones and nonhistone proteins [12,13]. In particular, histone H3 phosphorylation on serine 10 or 28, rapid and transient PTMs in response to the stimulation of signaling pathways such as the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathways, are associated with histone acetylation and transcriptional activation of specific genes [14]. A cross-talk also exists between histone acetylation and H3 methylation. Although acetylation is generally linked to transcription activation, the effect of methylation depends on which amino acid residue is modified and the degree to which this residue is methylated (mono-, di- or trimethylation of lysine). Methylation of H3 lysine 4 or 36 is associated with transcription activation, but methylation of lysine 9 or 27 is linked to transcription repression [15,16].

To date, 18 different mammalian HDACs have been identified and divided into four classes based on their sequence similarity to yeast counterparts [17,18]. HDACs from the classical family are dependent on Zn^{2+} for deacetylase activity and constitute classes I, II and IV. Class I HDACs, closely related to yeast RPD3, comprise HDAC1, HDAC2, HDAC3 and HDAC8. Class II HDACs, related to yeast HDA1, are divided into subclass IIa (HDAC4, HDAC5, HDAC7 and HDAC9) and subclass IIb (HDAC6 and HDAC10). Class IV contains only HDAC11. Class III HDACs consist of seven sirtuins, which require the *NAD*⁺ cofactor for activity.

Inhibitors of Zn²⁺-dependent HDACs were originally discovered as inducers of transformed cell growth arrest and cell death and only later were identified as inhibitors of HDAC activity [19]. It was recognized that HDACs are upregulated in many cancers or aberrantly recruited to DNA following chromosomal translocations, particularly in hematologic malignancies [20,21]. The specificity of HDAC inhibitors toward tumor cells, although poorly understood, has led to their development as anticancer drugs. More recently, clinical studies using HDAC inhibitors have been extended to a range of nononcologic diseases, such as sickle cell anemia, HIV infection, cystic fibrosis, muscular dystrophy and neurodegenerative and inflammatory disorders [21-23]. The use of HDAC inhibitors also constitutes a chemical approach to the study of HDAC cellular functions. In addition, crucial developmental and physiological roles of HDACs have been elucidated by knockout studies [21,24]. The scope of this review concerns emerging concepts regarding the roles of HDACs in modulating chromatin structure and function as revealed by studies with HDAC inhibitors.

Class I HDAC complexes

Class I HDACs are ubiquitously expressed nuclear enzymes, although HDAC8 is generally poorly expressed [17]. Except for HDAC8, class I HDACs are components of multiprotein complexes. Knockout studies have shown that class I HDACs are involved in cell proliferation and survival [21,24]. As products of an evolutionary recent gene duplication, HDAC1 and HDAC2 exhibit a high degree of homology (85% identity for human proteins) [18,25] and have undergone little functional divergence, although specific and distinct roles have also been identified for each of them [26,27]. For example, targeted disruption of the *Hdac1* alleles in mouse results in cell proliferation defects and embryonic lethality by embryonic day E9.5 [28], whereas mice lacking HDAC2 survive at least until the perinatal period [29-31]. On the basis of their differential distributions in the brain at distinct stages of neuroglial development, HDAC1 and HDAC2 appear to have different functions during the development of the central nervous system [32]. Moreover, HDAC2, but not HDAC1, negatively regulates memory formation and synaptic plasticity [33]. Surprisingly, researchers in a recent study suggested that HDAC1 has a protective role against the formation of immature teratomas with high malignant potential in both mouse studies and human patients [34].

HDAC1 and HDAC2 form homo- and heterodimers between each other [26,35,36], which presumably allows them to act together or separately from each other. The dimer is a requirement for HDAC activity [36]. Dissociation of the dimer with a HDAC1 N-terminal peptide will inhibit HDAC activity [36]. Viruses have capitalized on this mechanism to inhibit HDAC activity. The adenoviral protein GAM1 inhibits HDAC1 activity by binding to the N-terminal region of HDAC1, which likely dissociates the dimer [37].

HDAC1 and HDAC2 heterodimer levels seem to depend on the cell type, because it was shown that 80% to 90% of HDAC1 and HDAC2 proteins were associated with each other in the nucleus of human breast cancer MCF-7 cells [38], whereas 40% to 60% of HDAC1 and HDAC2 proteins were found to be free from each other in mouse embryonic fibroblasts [39]. Moreover, a genomewide mapping study in primary human CD4⁺ T cells revealed a differential distribution of HDAC1 and HDAC2 along regulatory and coding regions [40]. Conversely, HDAC1 and HDAC2 were both associated with regulatory and coding regions in MCF-7 cells [38,41]. HDAC1 and HDAC2 relative expression levels also vary with cell types. For example, T-lymphocyte Jurkat cells express negligible levels of HDAC2 compared to HDAC1 levels [42], and throughout the adult brain HDAC2 is preferentially expressed in neurons, whereas HDAC1 is more abundant in glial cells [32,33]. It is likely that, at least in cells expressing markedly different relative levels of HDAC1 and HDAC2, homodimer formation would prevail over heterodimer formation.

HDAC1 and HDAC2 are both found in multiprotein corepressor complexes Sin3, nucleosome-remodeling HDAC (NuRD) and CoREST, which are recruited to chromatin regulatory regions by transcription factors (for example, Sp1, Sp3, p53, NF- κ B and YY1) and have very diverse, often cell-specific, roles (Figure 1) [17,43]. Although it is generally assumed that both HDACs can be paired within the same complex, to the best of our knowledge, it has been demonstrated only in studies using exogenously expressed, tagged HDAC1 and not in studies characterizing endogenous HDAC corepressor complexes [36]. The Sin3 core complex contains Sin3A or Sin3B, HDAC1 and/or HDAC2, SAP18, SAP30 and retinoblastoma-associated proteins (RbAps) RbAp46 and RbAp48 and serves as a platform for the addition of other modules with enzymatic functions such as nucleosome remodeling, DNA methylation, histone methylation and N-acetylglucosamine transferase activity [44, 45].

The NuRD complex has a variable composition that is dependent on the cell type and external stimuli. It is the only complex holding both HDAC- and ATP-dependent chromatin-remodeling activities, which are carried out by HDAC1 and/or HDAC2 and Mi- 2α and/or Mi- 2β , respectively. The other known components of NuRD are



structural and/or regulatory proteins RbAp46/RbAp48 and, in some instances, also p66α or p66β, the methyl-CpG-binding domain-containing proteins (MBD2 or MBD3), with only MBD2 being able to recognize methylated DNA and the three members of the metastasisassociated protein family (MTA1, MTA2 or MTA3), with different MTA proteins allowing distinct downstream responses to the activation of different signaling pathways [45,46]. Lysine-specific demethylase 1 (KDM1/ LSD1) has also been identified as a component of NuRD [47].

HDAC1 and HDAC2 are also components of the Nanog- and Oct4-associated deacetylase (NODE) complex, a NuRD-related repression complex, also comprising MTA1 or MTA2, p66 α or p66 β , but not the histone-binding proteins RbAp46/RbAp48 and the helicase-like ATPase Mi-2. NODE is involved in the control of embryonic stem cell fate by repressing Nanog and Oct4 target genes [48].

Also including HDAC1 and HDAC2, but composed of proteins distinct from those of Sin3 and NuRD, the CoREST complex is recruited by the RE1 silencing transcription (REST) factor, also known as the "neuronal restricted silencing factor" (NRSF), to the RE1 DNA motif associated with many genes encoding fundamental neuronal traits. As a component of the CoR-EST complex and as a consequence of histone H3 deacetylation, KDM1/LSD1 promotes demethylation of H3 dimethylated on lysine 4 (H3K4me2), an event that facilitates the formation of a repressive chromatin structure [49,50]. Although CoREST acts as a corepressor in terminally differentiating nonneuronal cells by recruiting KDM1/LSD1 to demethylate H3K4me2 and the methyltransferase G9a to methylate H3K9 at the RE1 sites of target genes, it acts as a coactivator of transcription in embryonic stem cells and neural stem cells by recruiting an H3K4 methyltransferase to the RE1 sites of target genes [51]. CoREST can also form larger complexes by association with ZNF217, a Krüppel-like zinc finger protein and strong candidate oncogene product found in breast cancer, or with other complexes, such as the chromatin-remodeling complex SWI/SNF or the C-terminal binding protein (CtBP) complex [45,52]. Interestingly, CoREST appears to be involved in the negative regulation of synaptic plasticity and memory formation by HDAC2 [33]. Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) and immunocoprecipitation experiments performed on mouse forebrains showed that, though both HDAC1 and HDAC2 were incorporated in Sin3 and NuRD complexes and were found to be enriched at the promoters of cellcycle genes, HDAC2 was preferentially associated with the CoREST complex to repress neuronal gene expression [33].

A novel HDAC complex, MiDAC, is specific to mitotic cells and includes HDAC1, HDAC2, either one of the related ELM-SANT proteins MIDEAS or TRERF1, and DNTTIP1 (terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase (TdT)-interacting protein), although the authors who published these findings suggested that the MiDAC complex has a TdT-independent function in cell division. Whether the putative histone acetylase CDYL is also a MiDAC component is presently unclear [53].

The discussion above illustrates that the HDAC1 and HDAC2 homo- or heterodimer can exist with different proteins. The combination of these proteins likely determines the overall activity, substrate specificity and genomic location of the HDAC1 and/or HDAC2 containing complex.

The two highly related complexes nuclear receptor corepressor (NCoR or NCOR1) and silencing mediator of retinoic acid and thyroid hormone receptor (SMRT or NCOR2) consist of HDAC3, transducin β-like 1 (TBL1), TBL-related 1 (TBLR1) and G protein pathway suppressor 2 (GPS2) [45,54]. NCoR and SMRT also interact with class IIa HDACs, which exhibit no deacetylase activity of their own but are believed to recruit NCoR/SMRT HDAC3 activity to distinct promoters through their associated factors, such as myocyte enhancer factor 2 (MEF2) [55]. NCoR, but not SMRT, interacts with zinc finger and BTB domain-containing 33 (ZBTB33 or Kaiso), which is a protein that binds to methylated DNA. NCoR and SMRT are regulated by different kinase pathways and play different roles in development. Although NCoR binds preferentially to the thyroid hormone receptor, SMRT prefers the retinoic acid receptor [45,54]. It is noteworthy that repression by NCoR/SMRT is an integral phase of the cyclical process that is the transcriptional activation of genes controlled by liganded receptors. NCoR/SMRT repression is necessary to prime chromatin for subsequent transcription initiation [56]. TBL1 and TBLR1 are involved in the active dismissal of corepressor complexes [54]. Besides its role in transcriptional control, the HDAC3-NCoR-SMRT axis is critical to the maintenance of heterochromatin content and genomic stability [57].

Histone deacetylation also acts in concert with the Polycomb repressive complexes (PRC1/PRC2) or the G9a complex, which catalyze the trimethylation of H3K27 or H3K9, respectively. H3K27me3 is a repressive mark that can easily be reverted, thus conferring plasticity to the chromatin structure. The DNA of Polycomb target genes is generally unmethylated, but some genes can undergo *de novo* DNA methylation under certain circumstances, notably in cancer cells. H3K9me3, on the other hand, is associated with DNA methylation and is a stable mark, denoting permanent silencing [58,59]. As mentioned above, the cooperation of DNA methylation

and histone deacetylation in gene silencing is also established by the recruitment of complexes such as Sin3 or NuRD via proteins that bind methylated DNA such as MeCP2 or MBD2.

Class II histone deacetylases

Class II HDACs shuttle between nucleus and cytoplasm and have tissue-specific expression and functions [21,24,43,60]. Class IIa HDACs (HDAC4, HDAC5, HDAC7 and HDAC9) are signal transducers characterized by the presence in their regulatory N-terminal domains of two or three conserved serine residues subject to reversible phosphorylation. Phosphorylation leads to the binding of the 14-3-3 proteins, the nuclear export of HDACs and the derepression of their target genes. A range of kinases and phosphatases acting downstream of diverse biological pathways have been shown to regulate the nucleocytoplasmic trafficking of class IIa HDACs [61]. Because of a substitution of Tyr with His in their catalytic site, class IIa HDACs have negligible intrinsic deacetylase activity but are able to bind acetylated lysine. It has been suggested that, under some circumstances, class IIa HDACs may act as bromodomains, recognizing acetylated lysine in a sequence-dependent context and recruiting chromatin-modifying enzymes to regulate transcription [62]. As mentioned above, class IIa HDAC association with MEF2 provides additional targeting for the SMRT-NCoR complex [55]. Class IIa HDACs also interact with numerous other transcription factors. However, the biological relevance of these associations has been established only for the MEF2-regulated processes [63,64]. Class IIa HDACs are not affected by most HDAC inhibitors at pharmacologically relevant concentrations [62].

Class IIb HDACs (HDAC6 and HDAC10) have duplicated catalytic domains, albeit the duplication is partial in the case of HDAC10. HDAC6 and HDAC10 shuttle between nucleus and cytoplasm, but their location is primarily cytoplasmic. Little is known of the role of HDAC10. HDAC6 is an α -tubulin deacetylase as well as a cortactin deacetylase and thus is involved in the control of microtubule- and actin-dependent cell motility. Chaperone protein HSP90 is another substrate of HDAC6. Moreover, HDAC6 plays a critical role in the cellular clearance of misfolded proteins via formation of aggresomes or autophagy [43]. Thus HDAC6 is a potential therapeutic target for the treatment of an array of diseases, including neurodegenerative diseases and cancer [65-67].

Class IV histone deacetylase 11

HDAC11 has sequence similarity to classes I and II HDACs. Aside from its evolutionary conservation's implying a vital role across species [43] and a study

suggesting a role in the decision between immune activation and immune tolerance [68], little is known of HDAC11 functions.

Selectivity of histone deacetylase inhibitors

The active site of Zn²⁺-dependent HDACs consists of a tubular pocket with two adjacent histidine residues, two aspartic acid residues, one tyrosine residue (substituted with histidine in class IIa HDACs, as mentioned above) and a Zn^{2+} ion at the bottom of the pocket, all forming a charge-relay system [69]. The HDAC inhibitors currently used in clinical trials or approved by the US Food and Drug Administration fit into this active site pocket, owing to a pharmacophore featuring a Zn²⁺-chelating group and a linker spanning the length of the tubular pocket and connected to a cap that blocks the active site by interacting with the external surface of HDACs. Depending on their chemical Zn²⁺-binding group, HDAC inhibitors belong to different classes, including hydroxamic acids, carboxylic acids, benzamides and cyclic tetrapeptides [19]. A central theme in the literature on HDAC inhibitors is their isoform selectivity or, rather, their perceived lack of isoform selectivity. HDAC inhibitors have generally been considered pan-inhibitors, inhibiting all HDACs from the classical family or class I-specific inhibitors. This view has recently been dispelled, however, by a study revealing no targeting of class IIa HDACs by most HDAC inhibitors [62]. Although it is not known which HDAC isoform's inhibition is responsible for the therapeutic or toxic effects observed in clinical trials, it has generally been assumed that the development of isoform-selective inhibitors would result in preferable clinical outcomes. This theory is unproven to date [20,23]. However, researchers who have performed conventional assays have analyzed the affinities of HDAC inhibitors for different HDACs by using purified HDACs, whereas HDAC activity is mostly associated with multiprotein complexes, the role and composition of which are often cell type-specific. This fact was taken into consideration in a pioneering study in which the investigators carried out the chemoproteomic profiling of 16 HDAC inhibitors with different chemical structures across six human cell lines and six mouse tissues [53]. In that study, a nonselective HDAC inhibitor bound to sepharose beads was added to cell lysates under conditions that preserved the integrity of protein complexes. In a competition assay, the mixture was spiked with a range of concentrations of a free inhibitor interfering with the capture of HDAC complexes by the immobilized inhibitor. Captured proteins were analyzed by quantitative mass spectrometry, and target complexes were reconstituted by matching half-maximal inhibitory concentration values. This initial complex identification was further confirmed by quantitative immunoprecipitation experiments. Although the results collected in this study confirmed that class IIa HDACs were not targeted by any of the studied inhibitors, they mostly conflicted with the isoform selectivity data previously obtained in assays using purified HDACs [62,70]. This is not surprising, in view of a previous kinetic study suggesting that the in vitro mode of action of the HDAC inhibitor trichostatin A (TSA) depended on whether the assay conditions preserved HDAC complexes or resulted in their dissociation [71]. Incidentally, it was also shown that TSA did not disrupt HDAC1 and HDAC2 interaction with Sin3A [71]. However, it was shown that TSA and suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid (SAHA, also known as vorinostat), but not less bulky inhibitors such as sodium butyrate or valproic acid, dissociated inhibitor of growth 2 (ING2) from the Sin3 complex, thus disrupting the ING2-mediated recruitment of Sin3 to chromatin [72]. Bantscheff et al. [53] found that some inhibitors had different affinities for different complexes. In particular, inhibitors from the benzamide class displayed a higher affinity for the HDAC3-NCoR complex than for NuRD and CoREST complexes, whereas they did not target the Sin3 complex. The affinity of valproic acid, an inhibitor from the carboxylic acid class with moderate potency for class I HDACs, was highest for the CoREST complex, decreased gradually for the NuRD and NCoR complexes and was lowest for the Sin3 complex [53]. The different affinities of HDAC inhibitors detected for different complexes are in agreement with the previous observation that proteins in close proximity to the HDAC active site could interact with the cap of HDAC inhibitors, leading to the suggestion that HDAC-associated proteins could specify inhibitor selectivity [73]. The class IIb enzymes HDAC6 and HDAC10 were inhibited only by hydroxamate compounds. The anti-inflammatory drug bufexamac was identified as a class IIb HDAC inhibitor (HDAC6 and HDAC10), however, and accordingly promoted tubulin hyperacetylation at pharmacologically relevant concentrations. It was shown that hyperacetylation of different HDAC substrates corresponded to the HDAC inhibitor selectivity. For example, class I-selective HDAC inhibitors induced histone but not tubulin hyperacetylation, whereas nonselective HDAC inhibitors stimulated both histone and tubulin hyperacetylation. The authors also identified a novel mitotic HDAC complex, which they called MiDAC [53]. This study provides a new and promising path toward understanding the mode of action of class I-specific HDAC inhibitors and to develop isoform-selective compounds. For example, the combination of this methodology with genomic profiling of the different complexes by ChIP assay (specifically large-scale variants ChIP-on-chip and ChIP-seq) would be highly informative.

Transcriptional reprogramming by histone deacetylase inhibitors

Inhibition of HDAC activity results in transcriptional reprogramming, which is believed to contribute largely to the therapeutic benefits of HDAC inhibitors on cancers, cardiovascular diseases, neurodegenerative disorders and pulmonary diseases [24]. Inhibition of HDAC enzymatic activity affects the expression of only 5% to 20% of genes, however, with equal numbers of genes being upregulated and downregulated [19]. Only a fraction of these changes are direct effects of HDAC inhibitors, and others are downstream effects, necessitating new protein synthesis. Only some of the direct effects can be inferred as direct consequences of inhibition of histone deacetylation, and others are the results of other mechanisms, such as the inhibition of transcription factor deacetylation, resulting in an altered affinity for DNA binding sites on target gene regulatory regions, an altered interaction with other factors or an altered halflife [74]. Gene expression changes and biological functions targeted by HDAC inhibitors have been thoroughly addressed in recent comprehensive reviews [21,75]. Thus only a few examples of HDAC inhibitor-induced transcriptional changes involving novel or unexpected mechanisms are presented below.

p21 (*CDKN1A*), which encodes the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p21, mediating cell cycle arrest, differentiation or apoptosis, is a model gene. Its transcription is directly upregulated in different cell types by different HDAC inhibitors, thus contributing to the antitumor effect of HDAC inhibitors. In parallel with transcriptional activation, a reorganization of chromatin, including histone hyperacetylation, takes place in both the proximal and distal promoter regions [76]. p21 is regulated by a variety of factors, including p53. HDAC inhibitor-mediated transcriptional activation is independent of p53, however, and consequently can occur in tumor cells lacking a functional p53. Researchers in a recent study demonstrated that the nucleosomal response to the stimulation of the MAPK signaling pathway was required for *p21* induction by the HDAC inhibitor TSA. As part of the nucleosomal response, histone H3 in the p21 proximal promoter region was phosphorylated on serine 10 by the mitogen- and stress-activated protein kinase 1 (MSK1). It was shown that this phosphorylation event was crucial to the acetylation of neighboring lysine 14. The phosphoacetylation mark was recognized by the 14-3-3 ζ protein, reader of phosphoserine marks, and was thus protected from removal by PP2A phosphatase [77]. Presumably, 14-3-3 also acts as a scaffold for the recruitment of chromatin remodeler, leading to initiation of transcription [78]. Additionally, treatment with the HDAC inhibitor depsipeptide, also known as romidepsin, can induce *p21* expression by causing acetylation

of p53, protecting it from ubiquitination-induced degradation and allowing the recruitment of the p300 KAT to the p53-responsive p21 promoter [79]. The p21 gene may generate several alternate variants [80,81]. The impact of HDAC inhibitors on the genesis of these variants remains to be determined. Some HDAC inhibitors alter pre-mRNA splicing by changing the expression of splicing factors, which are components of the spliceosome. As an example, butyrate, but not TSA, increases the expression of SFRS2 [82]. SFRS2 is required for the expression of p21 [82].

The induction of the Fos and Jun immediate-early genes following the activation of the MAPK pathway is also dependent on MSK-mediated phosphorylation of histone H3 in the promoter region. However, the outcome of HDAC inhibition by TSA on these genes was opposite to the one on *p21* and opposite to the common belief that histone hyperacetylation is linked to transcription activation. Treatment with TSA resulted in rapid enhancement of H3 acetylation at the promoter region of these genes, but transcription was inhibited [83]. Furthermore, it was shown that continuous dynamic turnover of acetylation was characteristic of genes carrying the active methylation mark on H3K4, but not of genes carrying the repressive methylation mark on H3K9. The authors concluded that acetylation turnover rather than stably enhanced acetylation was crucial to the induction of the Fos and Jun genes [83]. A similar cyclical process that entails alternating activating and repressive epigenetic events during the hormonedependent activation of genes has been described [56]. Nonetheless, other scenarios are possible; for example, the transcription activation of *Fos* and *Jun* could require the deacetylation of a nonhistone protein associated with their regulatory region. Investigators in several studies have suggested a role for deacetylation of transcription factors or other proteins in gene induction [84,85]. A proposal for the role of HDACs in the basal transcription from the mouse mammary tumor virus (MMTV) promoter and some other TATA/Inr-containing core promoters is that deacetylation of protein components of the reinitiation complex would allow the recruitment of RNA polymerase II [86].

In another example contrasting with the predominant view of HDACs' being transcriptional repressors, it was shown that HDAC1 served as a coactivator for the glucocorticoid receptor (GR) and that this function was dynamically modulated by acetylation of HDAC1 itself [87]. Researchers in a more recent study reported that HDAC2 was also required for GR-mediated transcriptional activation, and mechanistic insight was presented regarding collaborative regulation by HDAC1 and HDAC2 [36]. HDAC1 and HDAC2 act synergistically in the GR-mediated transcriptional activation at the MMTV promoter. So far, neither their acetylated target nor the nature of the coactivator complex with which they associate has been identified. It was shown that the coactivation function of HDAC1 and HDAC2 is dynamically regulated by acetylation of the HDAC1 C-terminal tail, with K432 being an important site among the six lysine residues that may be acetylated. It is of interest to note that the C-terminal domain of HDAC1 and HDAC2 is a region carrying modifications (phosphorylation and acetylation) that regulate HDAC activity. The acetylation event represses the deacetylase activity of both the HDAC1 homodimer and the HDAC1/HDAC2 heterodimer, even though only HDAC1 is acetylated [36,87]. It was suggested that HDAC1 and HDAC2 form homo- and heterodimers with the catalytic domains facing each other and that this arrangement was required for catalytic activity [36]. A modification of one of the HDACs is all that is required to inhibit the activity of the HDAC dimer.

Epigenetic mechanisms directed by noncoding RNAs

A widespread effect of HDAC inhibitors on microRNAs (miRNAs) levels was first reported in the breast cancer cell line SKBr3. Following a short exposure of these cells to a proapoptotic dose of the HDAC inhibitor LAQ824 (also known as dacinostat), significant changes in the levels of 40% of the miRNA population were detected. The majority of miRNAs were downregulated, but some were upregulated [88]. miRNAs are short, noncoding RNAs (ncRNAs) of about 23 nucleotides that regulate gene expression at the posttranscriptional level by binding to the 3'-UTRs of target mRNAs, leading to their degradation or translation repression. Although the biogenesis of miRNAs is well-understood, little is known of the regulation of miRNA expression, but there is increasing evidence that miRNA expression is widely misregulated in tumors, with tumor suppressor miRNAs targeting growth-inducing genes being downregulated and oncogenic miRNAs targeting growth-inhibiting genes being upregulated [89,90].

Similarly, misregulation of miRNA expression is characteristic of metastasis [91]. miRNAs are initially transcribed by RNA polymerase II into long-coding or noncoding intragenic or intergenic RNAs. They can overlap RNA transcripts in the same, opposite or both directions. Noncoding intragenic RNAs transcribed in the same direction as the coding RNA can be transcribed from the same promoter as the host RNA or can have their own promoter embedded in an intron. Promoters used by other ncRNAs are mostly unknown [90]. Some promoters are associated with CpG islands, which can become hypo- or hypermethylated during tumorigenesis, resulting in transcriptional activation or silencing, respectively. Researchers in a number of studies have demonstrated the reversal of specific miRNA transcriptional silencing following treatment with DNA methyltransferase (DNMT) and/or HDAC inhibitors, indicating that these anticancer agents can indirectly induce posttranscriptional repression of target genes [89,90,92-94]. Investigators in one study showed that transcriptional silencing of miR-22 in acute lymphoblastic leukemia cells was independent of DNA methylation of the CpG island within the promoter region, but entailed K27 trimethylation of associated H3 histone. miR-22 transcriptional silencing could be reversed by TSA treatment [95]. In acute myeloid leukemia (AML), miR-223 was shown to be a direct transcriptional target of the AML1/ETO fusion oncoprotein resulting from the t(8;21) translocation. By recruiting HDAC1, DNMT and MeCP2 activities, AML1/ETO induces heterochromatic silencing of miR-223 [96].

Alternatively, it has been shown that miRNAs can regulate genes encoding epigenetic regulators such as DNMT3a and DNMT3b, Polycomb-associated Kmethyltransferase EZH2 (also known as KMT6), HDAC1 and HDAC4 [89,90]. It was determined that the *HDAC1* gene, a direct target of miR-449a, was upregulated in prostate cancer cells and tissues as a consequence of miR-449a downregulation [97]. Similarly, *HDAC4* targeted by miR-1-1 was upregulated in human hepatocellular carcinoma cells and primary hepatocellular carcinoma [98]. During mouse development, HDAC4 plays a crucial role in the regulation of skeletogenesis and myogenesis, and its expression has been shown to be controlled at the posttranscriptional level by miR-140 and miR-1, respectively [99,100].

More recently, the existence of another class of miR-NAs mediating transcriptional gene silencing (TGS) was demonstrated [101,102]. Contrary to miRNA-mediated posttranscriptional silencing, which is transient and dependent on the sustained presence of the effector miRNA, TGS targets promoter regions and triggers heterochromatin formation by inducing DNA and histone methylation (H3K27me3 and H3K9me2), which leads to long-term silencing. This process can be inhibited by TSA, indicating a role for HDACs. The mechanism implicated in the chromatin reorganization in the promoter region of the target gene is not fully understood, but it is known to involve RNA-RNA pairing between the small RNA and the nascent RNA transcript and, among other factors, EZH2, DNMT3A and HDAC1 [103,104]. Moreover, in miRNA-induced TGS of HIV-1, heterochromatin formation was initiated at the nucleation center, as directed by the miRNA, and was further extended both upstream and downstream to include adjacent genes. It was directly shown that HDAC1 was involved in this process [105]. As a side note, miRNAs are also able to activate transcription, notably of the

gene p21, for example, perhaps by targeting and repressing a repressor miRNA [103,104].

Long, noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) have been known to recruit Polycomb proteins and associated HDACs to initiate and maintain heterochromatin formation in the silencing of developmentally important genes, such as in X-chromosome inactivation and parental imprinting [104,106]. It has been proposed that long, singlestranded ncRNAs are integral components of chromatin, which may stabilize binding of nonhistone proteins to chromatin, such as the heterochromatin protein 1 (HP1), and/or may play a role similar to that of H1, a linker histone contributing to the formation of higherorder chromatin structures. It is possible that RNA could facilitate the folding of the chromatin fiber and the formation of loops involving long-distance interactions [107].

The role of ncRNAs in the regulation of gene expression is beyond the scope of this review, but it has become evident that the majority of protein-coding genes are regulated by antisense RNAs and, moreover, that a large part of the genomes of humans and other complex organisms consist of non-protein-coding DNA sequences, which are transcribed. It has been suggested that these noncoding regions, previously termed "junk DNA," supply a vast array of ncRNAs which control the different epigenomes supporting development and generated throughout life in response to diet and environment. There is increasing evidence that misregulation by ncRNAs is also responsible for cancers and other diseases. Furthermore, it has been proposed that ncRNAs "provide the regulatory power and plasticity required to program our ontogeny and cognition" [106, p1610].

Role of phosphorylation in targeting of class I histone deacetylases

Phosphorylation of HDAC1 (S393, S421, S423), HDAC2 (S394, S422, S424) and HDAC3 (S424) stimulates enzyme activity [108,109]. In vitro HDAC2 is phosphorylated by casein kinase (CK2), whereas HDAC1 can be phosphorylated by CK2, cAMP-dependent protein kinase and protein kinase G [25]. This difference constitutes more evidence that, although they share a high degree of homology, HDAC1 and HDAC2 have distinct and separately regulated functions. The regulation of HDAC1 and HDAC2 activities by PTMs has recently been reviewed [109]. Phosphorylation of HDAC1 and HDAC2 is also required for their incorporation into the Sin3, NuRD and CoREST corepressor complexes [25,41,110]. Recruitment of HDAC2 to regulatory regions of target genes by transcription factors also depends on its highly phosphorylated state [41]. On the other hand, the nonphosphorylated or monophosphorylated HDAC2 is associated with coding regions of transcribed genes [41] (Figure 2). Although unmodified and monophosphorylated HDAC2 are more abundant than highly phosphorylated HDAC2, it is the highly phosphorylated form that is preferentially cross-linked to chromatin with formaldehyde or cisplatin [111]. Thus, under conditions typically used in ChIP, highly phosphorylated HDAC2, but not unmodified or monophosphorylated HDAC2, is preferentially cross-linked to nuclear DNA *in situ* with formaldehyde. Through the use of a dual cross-linking ChIP assay, however, all isoforms of HDAC1 and HDAC2 could be mapped along regulatory and coding regions of transcribed genes, with the monophosphorylated or unmodified HDAC2 being associated with the coding region [41].

It should be noted that HDAC phosphorylation is dynamic and dependent on the balance of opposing activities of involved kinases and phosphatases. Treatment of cultured cells with the protein phosphatase inhibitor okadaic acid resulted in HDAC1 and HDAC2 hyperphosphorylation concomitant with the dissociation of HDAC1 and HDAC2, as well as the dissociation of HDAC1 from mSin3A or YY1. On the other hand, the HDAC1 and HDAC2 interactions with RbAp46 or RbAp48 were not disrupted [112]. In view of the abovedescribed results [25,41,110], however, it appears that the observed dissociation of the HDAC-corepressor complexes subsequent to okadaic acid treatment are due not to the hyperphosphorylation of HDAC1 and HDAC2, but rather to the hyperphosphorylation of other unidentified factors.



formation by phosphorylation. When phosphorylated by CK2, HDAC2 binds to the core components of Sin3, NuRD and CoREST complexes as homodimer or heterodimer with HDAC1. In the low or unphosphorylated states, HDAC1 and HDAC2 bind to proteins such as the serine/arginine (SR)-rich proteins and RNA-binding protein Hu antigen R (HuR/ELAVL1) which interact with the spliceosome.

A role of dynamic phosphorylation was also demonstrated for HDAC3. HDAC3 activity not only depends on its binding to the NCoR/SMRT complex but also is increased by CK2-mediated phosphorylation on S424. Conversely, HDAC3 interaction with protein phosphatase 4 results in decreased activity [113]. Moreover, it was shown that the phosphorylation state of HDAC3 had no effect on its association with NCoR or its subcellular localization [113]. In a recent study in which human HCT116 colon cancer cells were treated with sulforaphane (SFN), a cancer chemoprotective agent abundant in broccoli, a link between CK2-mediated phosphorylation of HDAC3 and SMRT and the disruption of the nuclear HDAC3-SMRT corepressor complex, followed by the export of HDAC3 into the cytoplasm, was suggested [114]. The proposed model is that 14-3-3 and Pin1 compete with each other for binding of cytoplasmic phosphorylated HDAC3, with binding to 14-3-3 eventually resulting in the reentry of HDAC3 into the nucleus and binding to Pin1 directing HDAC3 degradation. Thus extended exposure to SFN would lead to HDAC3 degradation [114]. Again, to reconcile these results with previous ones [113], it must be assumed that HDAC3 dissociation from SMRT and export to the cytoplasm are due to events other than CK2-mediated HDAC3 phosphorylation.

In the specific context of oxidative and/or nitrative stress, such as cigarette smoke, in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), HDAC2 phosphorylation or nitration was linked to its ubiquitination and degradation by proteasome [115-117]. Corticosteroids are used to reduce inflammation in the airways of patients with COPD. The mode of action of corticosteroids includes the recruitment of HDAC2 and silencing of proinflammatory genes. However, resistance to the anti-inflammatory actions of corticosteroids occurs under oxidative and/or nitrative stress. Among a number of mechanisms contributing to this corticosteroid insensitivity, phosphoinositide 3-kinase-\delta- and CK2-mediated HDAC2 phosphorylation were implicated [115,116,118]. Of note, administration of HDAC inhibitors affecting class I HDACs should not be given to COPD patients in the treatment of other diseases.

Role of class I histone deacetylases associated with coding regions

Several studies have addressed the role and mode of recruitment of class I HDACs to the coding region of active genes. In *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, the Rpd3S HDAC complex is recruited by the chromodomain of its EAF3 subunit and the plant homeobox domain (PHD) of its RCO1 subunit to the H3K36me mark to deacetylate nucleosomes behind the elongating RNA polymerase II, thus preventing cryptic initiation of transcription within the coding region [119-121]. In mammals, a novel complex composed of SIN3B, HDAC1, the EAF3 ortholog MRG15 and the PHD finger-containing PF1 (a homolog of yeast RCO1) was recently identified. This complex is associated with discrete loci of constitutively active genes and is believed to regulate RNA polymerase II progression within transcribed regions [122].

A role of HDAC1 in alternative pre-mRNA splicing has recently been reported in HeLa cells [123]. Alternative splicing of pre-mRNA gives rise to mature mRNA isoforms coding for functionally different proteins. This alternative splicing plays essential roles in differentiation and development as well as in diseases. There has been increasing evidence that transcription and splicing are coupled, and recent studies have revealed the contribution of chromatin structure and histone modifications to the selection of splice sites [124,125]. One of these studies addressed the role of HDACs in the regulation of alternative splicing [123]. It was shown that following treatment of HeLa cells with the HDAC inhibitor sodium butyrate, about 4% of the genes exhibited altered splicing. Further characterization of one of these genes, fibronectin (FN1), indicated that HDAC inhibition resulted in alternative exon skipping and was associated with increased histone H4 acetylation, increased RNA polymerase II processivity and reduced cotranscriptional association of the splicing regulator SRp40 at the target exon. Moreover, knockdown studies demonstrated that HDAC1, but not HDAC2, activity was required for the alternative splicing [123]. Although that study provided mechanistic insight into the role of HDAC1 in alternative splicing, the question of how HDAC1 is targeted to a particular intron-exon junction remains. It is possible that a newly identified class of small ncRNAs, the splice site RNAs, whose 3' ends map precisely to the splice donor site of internal exons in animals, serve as markers [126]. It is noteworthy that the genes whose splicing was affected by HDAC inhibition were all genes involved in cell fate and differentiation [123]. One of these genes encodes the tau protein, which is highly expressed in the central nervous system. It turned out that the expression of a splice variant of the tau protein upregulated in some neurodegenerative diseases was reduced following treatment with sodium butyrate. This indicates that some of the therapeutic benefits of HDAC inhibitors may be due to the modulation of alternative splicing. Furthermore, that study [123] represents another example of the different functions of HDAC1 and HDAC2. A recent study demonstrated that Hu proteins (for example, HuR/ELAVL1), which are splicing regulators, bind to HDAC2 and inhibit its enzyme activity. The Hu proteins are recruited to transcribed genes by an interaction with RNA polymerase II and transferred to pre-mRNA at Hu target sites. Inhibition of

HDAC2 activity by the Hu proteins results in localized increase in histone acetylation at specific exons [127], increasing the transcriptional elongation rate.

Questions remain regarding the role of HDAC2 or the presence of HDAC3 on coding regions. A genomewide mapping study in primary human CD4⁺ T cells showed that HDAC1, HDAC2, HDAC3 and HDAC6 were enriched in active genes. Moreover, HDAC1 and HDAC3 were present mostly in promoter regions, whereas HDAC2 and HDAC6 were localized to both promoter and coding regions of active genes [40]. However, these results conflict with those of studies in which other cell types were studied. In MCF-7 cells, HDAC1 and HDAC2 were both associated with regulatory and coding regions [38,41]. Moreover, bufexamac, a class IIb-specific HDAC inhibitor, did not affect the acetylation levels of histones in HeLa cells, suggesting that histones are not substrates of HDAC6 [53]. Nonetheless, the study showed that dynamic acetylation was associated with active chromatin characterized by the H3K4 methylation mark [40]. This observation was in agreement with results obtained in mouse fibroblast cells [83,128]. A recent study in S. cerevisiae reported that dynamic acetylation was required for the recruitment of splicing factors during cotranscriptional spliceosome assembly [129].

Conclusion

One major issue with HDAC inhibitors often referred to in the literature is their lack of specificity, in particular their lack of isoform selectivity [130]. Another issue is the lack of known targets. There is still much to learn about the many ways that HDAC inhibitors affect gene expression. Indeed, we have just started to comprehend the breadth of their actions in changing gene expression in normal and abnormal states. New tools and methods are continually being developed, however, leading to discoveries that challenge our paradigms. For example, the specificity of HDAC inhibitors might be directed not toward HDAC isoforms but toward HDAC complexes. This finding underlines the importance of resolving HDAC interactions with other proteins and identifying genomic targets of HDAC complexes in relevant tissues in normal and disease states.

Classes I and II HDACs often exist as dimers. For example, class I HDACs form heterodimers with class II HDACs (for example, HDAC3-HDAC4). Furthermore, class I HDAC1 and HDAC2 form either homodimers or heterodimers. Multiprotein complexes with either an HDAC1-HDAC2 heterodimer versus an HDAC1 or HDAC2 homodimer may have different properties and substrate preferences. Discovery of the mechanism regulating HDAC1-HDAC 2 homo- versus heterodimer formation in cells will be important to understanding the biology of these enzymes.

There is still much to be learned about the mechanistic linkages between class I HDACs, transcription and RNA splicing. Whether other splicing regulators, such as Hu proteins, regulate HDAC activity will be an important question to address. The profound impact of HDAC inhibitors on the alternate splicing of RNAs and miRNAs requires further investigation to understand the full impact of the inhibitors on the cellular spectrum of RNAs and proteins. Recently developed mass spectrometry approaches are beginning to sort out the effects of miRNAs on protein profiles. Such approaches are required to understand the effect of HDAC inhibitoraltered miRNA profiles on the proteome [131].

Abbreviations

ChIP: chromatin immunoprecipitation; CK2: casein kinase; DNMT: DNA methyltransferase; HDAC: histone deacetylase; KAT: lysine acetyltransferase; KDM1/LSD1: lysine-specific demethylase 1; MAPK: mitogen-activated protein kinase; MBD: methyl-CpG-binding domain-containing protein; MEF2: myocyte enhancer factor 2; miRNA: microRNA; NCoR or NCOR1: nuclear receptor corepressor; ncRNA: noncoding RNA; NF-kB: nuclear factor κ B; NuRD: nucleosome-remodeling HDAC; PTM: post-translational modification; RbAp: retinoblastoma-associated protein; SMRT or NCOR2: silencing mediator of retinoic acid and thyroid hormone receptor; TSA: trichostatin A; UTR: untranslated region.

Acknowledgements

Research support by a grant from Canadian Institutes of Health Research Grant MOP-9186, a Canada Research Chair (to JRD) and a MHRC/CancerCare Manitoba Studentship award (to DK) are gratefully acknowledged.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed to the content. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 25 January 2012 Accepted: 12 March 2012 Published: 12 March 2012

References

- Groth A, Rocha W, Verreault A, Almouzni G: Chromatin challenges during DNA replication and repair. Cell 2007, 128:721-733.
- 2. Shahbazian MD, Grunstein M: Functions of site-specific histone acetylation and deacetylation. *Annu Rev Biochem* 2007, **76**:75-100.
- Tse C, Sera T, Wolffe AP, Hansen JC: Disruption of higher order folding by core histone acetylation dramatically enhances transcription of nucleosomal arrays by RNA polymerase III. *Mol Cell Biol* 1998, 18:4629-4638.
- Wang X, He C, Moore SC, Ausio J: Effects of histone acetylation on the solubility and folding of the chromatin fiber. J Biol Chem 2001, 276:12764-12768.
- Shogren-Knaak M, Ishii H, Sun JM, Pazin MJ, Davie JR, Peterson CL: Histone H4-K16 acetylation controls chromatin structure and protein interactions. *Science* 2006, 311:844-847.
- Davie JR, He S, Li L, Sekhavat A, Espino P, Drobic B, Dunn KL, Sun JM, Chen HY, Yu J, Pritchard S, Wang X: Nuclear organization and chromatin dynamics: Sp1, Sp3 and histone deacetylases. *Adv Enzyme Regul* 2008, 48:189-208.
- Lee KK, Workman JL: Histone acetyltransferase complexes: one size doesn't fit all. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2007, 8:284-295.

- Yang XJ, Seto E: HATs and HDACs: from structure, function and regulation to novel strategies for therapy and prevention. Oncogene 2007, 26:5310-5318.
- Wang Q, Zhang Y, Yang C, Xiong H, Lin Y, Yao J, Li H, Xie L, Zhao W, Yao Y, Ning ZB, Zeng R, Xiong Y, Guan KL, Zhao S, Zhao GP: Acetylation of metabolic enzymes coordinates carbon source utilization and metabolic flux. *Science* 2010, 327:1004-1007.
- Patel J, Pathak RR, Mujtaba S: The biology of lysine acetylation integrates transcriptional programming and metabolism. *Nutr Metab (Lond)* 2011, 8:12.
- Choudhary C, Kumar C, Gnad F, Nielsen ML, Rehman M, Walther TC, Olsen JV, Mann M: Lysine acetylation targets protein complexes and coregulates major cellular functions. *Science* 2009, **325**:834-840.
- 12. Latham JA, Dent SY: Cross-regulation of histone modifications. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2007, 14:1017-1024.
- 13. Yang XJ, Seto E: Lysine acetylation: codified crosstalk with other posttranslational modifications. *Mol Cell* 2008, **31**:449-461.
- 14. Hazzalin CA, Mahadevan LC: MAPK-regulated transcription: a continuously variable gene switch? *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 2002, **3**:30-40.
- Kouzarides T: Chromatin modifications and their function. Cell 2007, 128:693-705.
- Allis CD, Berger SL, Cote J, Dent S, Jenuwien T, Kouzarides T, Pillus L, Reinberg D, Shi Y, Shiekhattar R, Shilatifard A, Workman J, Zhang Y: New nomenclature for chromatin-modifying enzymes. *Cell* 2007, 131:633-636.
- de Ruijter AJ, van Gennip AH, Caron HN, Kemp S, van Kuilenburg AB: Histone deacetylases (HDACs): characterization of the classical HDAC family. *Biochem J* 2003, 370:737-749.
- Gregoretti IV, Lee YM, Goodson HV: Molecular evolution of the histone deacetylase family: functional implications of phylogenetic analysis. J Mol Biol 2004, 338:17-31.
- Smith KT, Workman JL: Histone deacetylase inhibitors: anticancer compounds. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 2009, 41:21-25.
- 20. Witt O, Deubzer HE, Milde T, Oehme I: HDAC family: what are the cancer relevant targets? *Cancer Lett* 2009, **277**:8-21.
- Marks PA: Histone deacetylase inhibitors: a chemical genetics approach to understanding cellular functions. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2010, 1799:717-725.
- 22. Wiech NL, Fisher JF, Helquist P, Wiest O: Inhibition of histone deacetylases: a pharmacological approach to the treatment of non-cancer disorders. *Curr Top Med Chem* 2009, **9**:257-271.
- Wagner JM, Hackanson B, Lübbert M, Jung M: Histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors in recent clinical trials for cancer therapy. *Clin Epigenetics* 2010, 1:117-136.
- 24. Haberland M, Montgomery RL, Olson EN: The many roles of histone deacetylases in development and physiology: implications for disease and therapy. *Nat Rev Genet* 2009, **10**:32-42.
- 25. Tsai SC, Seto E: Regulation of histone deacetylase 2 by protein kinase CK2. J Biol Chem 2002, 277:31826-31833.
- Brunmeir R, Lagger S, Seiser C: Histone deacetylase HDAC1/HDAC2controlled embryonic development and cell differentiation. Int J Dev Biol 2009, 53:275-289.
- Jurkin J, Zupkovitz G, Lagger S, Grausenburger R, Hagelkruys A, Kenner L, Seiser C: Distinct and redundant functions of histone deacetylases HDAC1 and HDAC2 in proliferation and tumorigenesis. *Cell Cycle* 2011, 10:406-412.
- Lagger G, O'Carroll D, Rembold M, Khier H, Tischler J, Weitzer G, Schuettengruber B, Hauser C, Brunmeir R, Jenuwein T, Seiser C: Essential function of histone deacetylase 1 in proliferation control and CDK inhibitor repression. *EMBO J* 2002, 21:2672-2681.
- Montgomery RL, Davis CA, Potthoff MJ, Haberland M, Fielitz J, Qi X, Hill JA, Richardson JA, Olson EN: Histone deacetylases 1 and 2 redundantly regulate cardiac morphogenesis, growth, and contractility. *Genes Dev* 2007, 21:1790-1802.
- Trivedi CM, Luo Y, Yin Z, Zhang M, Zhu W, Wang T, Floss T, Goettlicher M, Noppinger PR, Wurst W, Ferrari VA, Abrams CS, Gruber PJ, Epstein JA: Hdac2 regulates the cardiac hypertrophic response by modulating Gsk3β activity. Nat Med 2007, 13:324-331.
- Zimmermann S, Kiefer F, Prudenziati M, Spiller C, Hansen J, Floss T, Wurst W, Minucci S, Göttlicher M: Reduced body size and decreased intestinal tumor rates in HDAC2-mutant mice. *Cancer Res* 2007, 67:9047-9054.

- MacDonald JL, Roskams AJ: Histone deacetylases 1 and 2 are expressed at distinct stages of neuro-glial development. *Dev Dyn* 2008, 237:2256-2267.
- Guan JS, Haggarty SJ, Giacometti E, Dannenberg JH, Joseph N, Gao J, Nieland TJ, Zhou Y, Wang X, Mazitschek R, Bradner JE, DePinho RA, Jaenisch R, Tsai LH: HDAC2 negatively regulates memory formation and synaptic plasticity. *Nature* 2009, 459:55-60.
- Lagger S, Meunier D, Mikula M, Brunmeir R, Schlederer M, Artaker M, Pusch O, Egger G, Hagelkruys A, Mikulits W, Weitzer G, Muellner EW, Susani M, Kenner L, Seiser C: Crucial function of histone deacetylase 1 for differentiation of teratomas in mice and humans. *EMBO J* 2010, 29:3992-4007.
- Taplick J, Kurtev V, Kroboth K, Posch M, Lechner T, Seiser C: Homooligomerisation and nuclear localisation of mouse histone deacetylase 1. J Mol Biol 2001, 308:27-38.
- Luo Y, Jian W, Stavreva D, Fu X, Hager G, Bungert J, Huang S, Qiu Y: Transregulation of histone deacetylase activities through acetylation. *J Biol Chem* 2009, 284:34901-34910.
- Chiocca S, Kurtev V, Colombo R, Boggio R, Sciurpi MT, Brosch G, Seiser C, Draetta GF, Cotten M: Histone deacetylase 1 inactivation by an adenovirus early gene product. *Curr Biol* 2002, 12:594-598.
- He S, Sun JM, Li L, Davie JR: Differential intranuclear organization of transcription factors Sp1 and Sp3. Mol Biol Cell 2005, 16:4073-4083.
- Yamaguchi T, Cubizolles F, Zhang Y, Reichert N, Kohler H, Seiser C, Matthias P: Histone deacetylases 1 and 2 act in concert to promote the G1-to-S progression. *Genes Dev* 2010, 24:455-469.
- Wang Z, Zang C, Cui K, Schones DE, Barski A, Peng W, Zhao K: Genomewide mapping of HATs and HDACs reveals distinct functions in active and inactive genes. *Cell* 2009, 138:1019-1031.
- Sun JM, Chen HY, Davie JR: Differential distribution of unmodified and phosphorylated histone deacetylase 2 in chromatin. *J Biol Chem* 2007, 282:33227-33236.
- Hassig CA, Tong JK, Fleischer TC, Owa T, Grable PG, Ayer DE, Schreiber SL: A role for histone deacetylase activity in HDAC1-mediated transcriptional repression. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1998, 95:3519-3524.
- Yang XJ, Seto E: The Rpd3/Hda1 family of lysine deacetylases: form bactoria and years to mice and map. *Nat Pay Mol Call Biol* 2008, 9:206-2
- bacteria and yeast to mice and men. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2008, 9:206-218.
 Silverstein RA, Ekwall K: Sin3: a flexible regulator of global gene
- expression and genome stability. *Curr Genet* 2005, 47:1-17.
 45. Hayakawa T, Nakayama J: Physiological roles of class I HDAC complex and histone demethylase. *J Biomed Biotechnol* 2011, 2011:129383.
- Denslow SA, Wade PA: The human Mi-2/NuRD complex and gene regulation. Oncogene 2007, 26:5433-5438.
- Wang Y, Zhang H, Chen YP, Sun YM, Yang F, Yu WH, Liang J, Sun LY, Yang XH, Shi L, Li RF, Li YY, Zhang Y, Li Q, Yi X, Shang YF: LSD1 is a subunit of the NuRD complex and targets the metastasis programs in breast cancer. *Cell* 2009, 138:660-672.
- Liang J, Wan M, Zhang Y, Gu P, Xin H, Jung SY, Qin J, Wong J, Cooney AJ, Liu D, Songyang Z: Nanog and Oct4 associate with unique transcriptional repression complexes in embryonic stem cells. *Nat Cell Biol* 2008, 10:731-739.
- Lee MG, Wynder C, Cooch N, Shiekhattar R: An essential role for CoREST in nucleosomal histone 3 lysine 4 demethylation. *Nature* 2005, 437:432-435.
- Shi YJ, Matson C, Lan F, Iwase S, Baba T, Shi Y: Regulation of LSD1 histone demethylase activity by its associated factors. *Mol Cell* 2005, 19:857-864.
- 51. Cunliffe VT: Eloquent silence: developmental functions of class I histone deacetylases. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* 2008, **18**:404-410.
- 52. Battaglia S, Maguire O, Campbell MJ: Transcription factor co-repressors in cancer biology: roles and targeting. *Int J Cancer* 2010, **126**:2511-2519.
- 53. Bantscheff M, Hopf C, Savitski MM, Dittmann A, Grandi P, Michon AM, Schlegl J, Abraham Y, Becher I, Bergamini G, Boesche M, Delling M, Dümpelfeld B, Eberhard D, Huthmacher C, Mathieson T, Poeckel D, Reader V, Strunk K, Sweetman G, Kruse U, Neubauer G, Ramsden NG, Drewes G: Chemoproteomics profiling of HDAC inhibitors reveals selective targeting of HDAC complexes. Nat Biotechnol 2011, 29:255-265.
- Perissi V, Jepsen K, Glass CK, Rosenfeld MG: Deconstructing repression: evolving models of co-repressor action. Nat Rev Genet 2010, 11:109-123.
- 55. Fischle W, Dequiedt F, Hendzel MJ, Guenther MG, Lazar MA, Voelter W, Verdin E: Enzymatic activity associated with class II HDACs is dependent

on a multiprotein complex containing HDAC3 and SMRT/N-CoR. Mol Cell 2002, 9:45-57.

- Métivier R, Penot G, Hübner MR, Reid G, Brand H, Kos M, Gannon F: Estrogen receptor-alpha directs ordered, cyclical, and combinatorial recruitment of cofactors on a natural target promoter. *Cell* 2003, 115:751-763.
- Bhaskara S, Knutson SK, Jiang G, Chandrasekharan MB, Wilson AJ, Zheng S, Yenamandra A, Locke K, Yuan JL, Bonine-Summers AR, Wells CE, Kaiser JF, Washington MK, Zhao Z, Wagner FF, Sun ZW, Xia F, Holson EB, Khabele D, Hiebert SW: Hdac3 is essential for the maintenance of chromatin structure and genome stability. *Cancer Cell* 2010, 18:436-447.
- Cedar H, Bergman Y: Linking DNA methylation and histone modification: patterns and paradigms. *Nat Rev Genet* 2009, 10:295-304.
- Sharma S, Kelly TK, Jones PA: Epigenetics in cancer. Carcinogenesis 2010, 31:27-36.
- Verdin E, Dequiedt F, Kasler HG: Class II histone deacetylases: versatile regulators. Trends Genet 2003, 19:286-293.
- 61. Parra M, Verdin E: Regulatory signal transduction pathways for class lla histone deacetylases. *Curr Opin Pharmacol* 2010, **10**:454-460.
- Bradner JE, West N, Grachan ML, Greenberg EF, Haggarty SJ, Warnow T, Mazitschek R: Chemical phylogenetics of histone deacetylases. Nat Chem Biol 2010, 6:238-243.
- 63. Martin M, Kettmann R, Dequiedt F: Class Ila histone deacetylases: regulating the regulators. *Oncogene* 2007, 26:5450-5467.
- Martin M, Kettmann R, Dequiedt F: Class Ila histone deacetylases: conducting development and differentiation. Int J Dev Biol 2009, 53:291-301.
- Valenzuela-Fernández A, Cabrero JR, Serrador JM, Sánchez-Madrid F: HDAC6: a key regulator of cytoskeleton, cell migration and cell-cell interactions. *Trends Cell Biol* 2008, 18:291-297.
- Li G, Jiang H, Chang M, Xie H, Hu L: HDAC6 α-tubulin deacetylase: a potential therapeutic target in neurodegenerative diseases. J Neurol Sci 2011, 304:1-8.
- Aldana-Masangkay Gl, Sakamoto KM: The role of HDAC6 in cancer. J Biomed Biotechnol 2011, 2011:875824.
- Villagra A, Sotomayor EM, Seto E: Histone deacetylases and the immunological network: implications in cancer and inflammation. Oncogene 2010, 29:157-173.
- Finnin MS, Donigian JR, Cohen A, Richon VM, Rifkind RA, Marks PA, Breslow R, Pavletich NP: Structures of a histone deacetylase homologue bound to the TSA and SAHA inhibitors. *Nature* 1999, 401:188-193.
- Bertrand P: Inside HDAC with HDAC inhibitors. Eur J Med Chem 2010, 45:2095-2116.
- Sekhavat A, Sun JM, Davie JR: Competitive inhibition of histone deacetylase activity by trichostatin A and butyrate. *Biochem Cell Biol* 2007, 85:751-758.
- Smith KT, Martin-Brown SA, Florens L, Washburn MP, Workman JL: Deacetylase inhibitors dissociate the histone-targeting ING2 subunit from the Sin3 complex. *Chem Biol* 2010, 17:65-74.
- Salisbury CM, Cravatt BF: Activity-based probes for proteomic profiling of histone deacetylase complexes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2007, 104:1171-1176.
- Glozak MA, Sengupta N, Zhang X, Seto E: Acetylation and deacetylation of non-histone proteins. *Gene* 2005, 363:15-23.
- Wanczyk M, Roszczenko K, Marcinkiewicz K, Bojarczuk K, Kowara M, Winiarska M: HDACi: going through the mechanisms. Front Biosci 2011, 16:340-359.
- Gui CY, Ngo L, Xu WS, Richon VM, Marks PA: Histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor activation of p21^{WAF1} involves changes in promoter-associated proteins, including HDAC1. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2004, 101:1241-1246.
- 77. Simboeck E, Sawicka A, Zupkovitz G, Senese S, Winter S, Dequiedt F, Ogris E, Di Croce L, Chiocca S, Seiser C: A phosphorylation switch regulates the transcriptional activation of cell cycle regulator p21 by histone deacetylase inhibitors. J Biol Chem 2010, 285:41062-41073.
- Drobic B, Pérez-Cadahía B, Yu J, Kung SK, Davie JR: Promoter chromatin remodeling of immediate-early genes is mediated through H3 phosphorylation at either serine 28 or 10 by the MSK1 multi-protein complex. Nucleic Acids Res 2010, 38:3196-3208.
- Zhao Y, Lu S, Wu L, Chai G, Wang H, Chen Y, Sun J, Yu Y, Zhou W, Zheng Q, Wu M, Otterson GA, Zhu WG: Acetylation of p53 at lysine 373/

382 by the histone deacetylase inhibitor depsipeptide induces expression of $p21^{Waf1/Cip1}$. Mol Cell Biol 2006, 26:2782-2790.

- 80. Radhakrishnan SK, Gierut J, Gartel AL: Multiple alternate p21 transcripts are regulated by p53 in human cells. *Oncogene* 2006, 25:1812-1815.
- Chen Y, Zhang L, Jones KA: SKIP counteracts p53-mediated apoptosis via selective regulation of p21^{Cip1} mRNA splicing. *Genes Dev* 2011, 25:701-716.
- Edmond V, Brambilla C, Brambilla E, Gazzeri S, Eymin B: SRSF2 is required for sodium butyrate-mediated p21^{WAF1} induction and premature senescence in human lung carcinoma cell lines. *Cell Cycle* 2011, 10:1968-1977.
- Hazzalin CA, Mahadevan LC: Dynamic acetylation of all lysine 4methylated histone H3 in the mouse nucleus: analysis at c-fos and c-jun. *PLoS Biol* 2005, 3:e393.
- Zupkovitz G, Tischler J, Posch M, Sadzak I, Ramsauer K, Egger G, Grausenburger R, Schweifer N, Chiocca S, Decker T, Seiser C: Negative and positive regulation of gene expression by mouse histone deacetylase 1. *Mol Cell Biol* 2006, 26:7913-7928.
- 85. Smith CL: A shifting paradigm: histone deacetylases and transcriptional activation. *Bioessays* 2008, **30**:15-24.
- Lee SC, Magklara A, Smith CL: HDAC activity is required for efficient core promoter function at the mouse mammary tumor virus promoter. J Biomed Biotechnol 2011, 2011:416905.
- Qiu Y, Zhao Y, Becker M, John S, Parekh BS, Huang S, Hendarwanto A, Martinez ED, Chen Y, Lu H, Adkins NL, Stavreva DA, Wiench M, Georgel PT, Schiltz RL, Hager GL: HDAC1 acetylation is linked to progressive modulation of steroid receptor-induced gene transcription. *Mol Cell* 2006, 22:669-679.
- Scott GK, Mattie MD, Berger CE, Benz SC, Benz CC: Rapid alteration of microRNA levels by histone deacetylase inhibition. *Cancer Res* 2006, 66:1277-1281.
- Iorio MV, Piovan C, Croce CM: Interplay between microRNAs and the epigenetic machinery: an intricate network. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2010, 1799:694-701.
- Sato F, Tsuchiya S, Meltzer SJ, Shimizu K: MicroRNAs and epigenetics. FEBS J 2011, 278:1598-1609.
- 91. Lujambio A, Esteller M: How epigenetics can explain human metastasis: a new role for microRNAs. *Cell Cycle* 2009, 8:377-382.
- Bandres E, Agirre X, Bitarte N, Ramirez N, Zarate R, Roman-Gomez J, Prosper F, Garcia-Foncillas J: Epigenetic regulation of microRNA expression in colorectal cancer. Int J Cancer 2009, 125:2737-2743.
- Lee EM, Shin S, Cha HJ, Yoon Y, Bae S, Jung JH, Lee SM, Lee SJ, Park IC, Jin YW, An S: Suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid (SAHA) changes microRNA expression profiles in A549 human non-small cell lung cancer cells. *Int J Mol Med* 2009, 24:45-50.
- Zhang S, Cai X, Huang F, Zhong W, Yu Z: Effect of trichostatin A on viability and microRNA expression in human pancreatic cancer cell line BxPC-3. Exp Oncol 2008, 30:265-268.
- Li X, Liu J, Zhou R, Huang S, Huang S, Chen XM: Gene silencing of *MIR22* in acute lymphoblastic leukaemia involves histone modifications independent of promoter DNA methylation. *Br J Haematol* 2010, 148:69-79.
- Fazi F, Racanicchi S, Zardo G, Starnes LM, Mancini M, Travaglini L, Diverio D, Ammatuna E, Cimino G, Lo-Coco F, Grignani F, Nervi C: Epigenetic silencing of the myelopoiesis regulator microRNA-223 by the AML1/ETO oncoprotein. *Cancer Cell* 2007, 12:457-466.
- Noonan EJ, Place RF, Pookot D, Basak S, Whitson JM, Hirata H, Giardina C, Dahiya R: miR-449a targets HDAC-1 and induces growth arrest in prostate cancer. Oncogene 2009, 28:1714-1724.
- Datta J, Kutay H, Nasser MW, Nuovo GJ, Wang B, Majumder S, Liu CG, Volinia S, Croce CM, Schmittgen TD, Ghoshal K, Jacob ST: Methylation mediated silencing of microRNA-1 gene and its role in hepatocellular carcinogenesis. *Cancer Res* 2008, 68:5049-5058.
- Tuddenham L, Wheeler G, Ntounia-Fousara S, Waters J, Hajihosseini MK, Clark I, Dalmay T: The cartilage specific microRNA-140 targets histone deacetylase 4 in mouse cells. *FEBS Lett* 2006, 580:4214-4217.
- Chen JF, Mandel EM, Thomson JM, Wu Q, Callis TE, Hammond SM, Conlon FL, Wang DZ: The role of microRNA-1 and microRNA-133 in skeletal muscle proliferation and differentiation. *Nat Genet* 2006, 38:228-233.
- 101. Gonzalez S, Pisano DG, Serrano M: Mechanistic principles of chromatin remodeling guided by siRNAs and miRNAs. *Cell Cycle* 2008, **7**:2601-2608.

- Kim DH, Saetrom P, Snøve O Jr, Rossi JJ: MicroRNA-directed transcriptional gene silencing in mammalian cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2008, 105:16230-16235.
- 103. Suzuki K, Kelleher AD: Transcriptional regulation by promoter targeted RNAs. Curr Top Med Chem 2009, 9:1079-1087.
- Turner AM, Morris KV: Controlling transcription with noncoding RNAs in mammalian cells. *Biotechniques* 2010, 48:ix-xvi.
- 105. Suzuki K, Juelich T, Lim H, Ishida T, Watanebe T, Cooper DA, Rao S, Kelleher AD: Closed chromatin architecture is induced by an RNA duplex targeting the HIV-1 promoter region. J Biol Chem 2008, 283:23353-23363.
- 106. Mattick JS: The central role of RNA in human development and cognition. *FEBS Lett* 2011, **585**:1600-1616.
- 107. Rodríguez-Campos A, Azorín F: RNA is an integral component of chromatin that contributes to its structural organization. *PLoS One* 2007, 2:e1182.
- Brandl A, Heinzel T, Krämer OH: Histone deacetylases: salesmen and customers in the post-translational modification market. *Biol Cell* 2009, 101:193-205.
- 109. Segré CV, Chiocca S: Regulating the regulators: the post-translational code of class I HDAC1 and HDAC2. J Biomed Biotechnol 2011, 2011:690848.
- 110. Pflum MK, Tong JK, Lane WS, Schreiber SL: Histone deacetylase 1 phosphorylation promotes enzymatic activity and complex formation. J Biol Chem 2001, 276:47733-47741.
- 111. Sun JM, Chen HY, Moniwa M, Litchfield DW, Seto E, Davie JR: The transcriptional repressor Sp3 is associated with CK2 phosphorylated histone deacetylase 2. *J Biol Chem* 2002, **277**:35783-35786.
- 112. Galasinski SC, Resing KA, Goodrich JA, Ahn NG: Phosphatase inhibition leads to histone deacetylases 1 and 2 phosphorylation and disruption of corepressor interactions. *J Biol Chem* 2002, 277:19618-19626.
- 113. Zhang X, Ozawa Y, Lee H, Wen YD, Tan TH, Wadzinski BE, Seto E: Histone deacetylase 3 (HDAC3) activity is regulated by interaction with protein serine/threonine phosphatase 4. *Genes Dev* 2005, 19:827-839.
- 114. Rajendran P, Delage B, Dashwood WM, Yu TW, Wuth B, Williams DE, Ho E, Dashwood RH: Histone deacetylase turnover and recovery in sulforaphane-treated colon cancer cells: competing actions of 14-3-3 and Pin1 in HDAC3/SMRT corepressor complex dissociation/reassembly. *Mol Cancer* 2011, 10:68.
- Barnes PJ: Role of HDAC2 in the pathophysiology of COPD. Annu Rev Physiol 2009, 71:451-464.
- 116. Adenuga D, Yao H, March TH, Seagrave J, Rahman I: Histone deacetylase 2 is phosphorylated, ubiquitinated, and degraded by cigarette smoke. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 2009, 40:464-473.
- 117. Roche N, Marthan R, Berger P, Chambellan A, Chanez P, Aguilaniu B, Brillet PY, Burgel PR, Chaouat A, Devillier P, Escamilla R, Louis R, Mal H, Muir JF, Pérez T, Similowski T, Wallaert B, Aubier M: Beyond corticosteroids: future prospects in the management of inflammation in COPD. Eur Respir Rev 2011, 20:175-182.
- 118. Chung KF, Marwick JA: Molecular mechanisms of oxidative stress in airways and lungs with reference to asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2010, **1203**:85-91.
- 119. Carrozza MJ, Li B, Florens L, Suganuma T, Swanson SK, Lee KK, Shia WJ, Anderson S, Yates J, Washburn MP, Workman JL: Histone H3 methylation by Set2 directs deacetylation of coding regions by Rpd3S to suppress spurious intragenic transcription. *Cell* 2005, **123**:581-592.
- 120. Keogh MC, Kurdistani SK, Morris SA, Ahn SH, Podolny V, Collins SR, Schuldiner M, Chin K, Punna T, Thompson NJ, Boone C, Emili A, Weissman JS, Hughes TR, Strahl BD, Grunstein M, Greenblatt JF, Buratowski S, Krogan NJ: Cotranscriptional set2 methylation of histone H3 lysine 36 recruits a repressive Rpd3 complex. *Cell* 2005, 123:593-605.
- Li B, Gogol M, Carey M, Lee D, Seidel C, Workman JL: Combined action of PHD and chromo domains directs the Rpd3S HDAC to transcribed chromatin. *Science* 2007, 316:1050-1054.
- 122. Jelinic P, Pellegrino J, David G: A novel mammalian complex containing Sin3B mitigates histone acetylation and RNA polymerase II progression within transcribed loci. *Mol Cell Biol* 2011, **31**:54-62.
- Hnilicová J, Hozeifi S, Dušková E, Icha J, Tománková T, Staněk D: Histone deacetylase activity modulates alternative splicing. *PLoS One* 2011, 6: e16727.
- Fox-Walsh K, Fu XD: Chromatin: the final frontier in splicing regulation? Dev Cell 2010, 18:336-338.

- Luco RF, Allo M, Schor IE, Kornblihtt AR, Misteli T: Epigenetics in alternative pre-mRNA splicing. *Cell* 2011, 144:16-26.
- 126. Taft RJ, Simons C, Nahkuri S, Oey H, Korbie DJ, Mercer TR, Holst J, Ritchie W, Wong JJ, Rasko JE, Rokhsar DS, Degnan BM, Mattick JS: Nuclear-localized tiny RNAs are associated with transcription initiation and splice sites in metazoans. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2010, 17:1030-1034.
- 127. Zhou HL, Hinman MN, Barron VA, Geng C, Zhou G, Luo G, Siegel RE, Lou H: Hu proteins regulate alternative splicing by inducing localized histone hyperacetylation in an RNA-dependent manner. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2011, 108:E627-E635.
- 128. Clayton AL, Hazzalin CA, Mahadevan LC: Enhanced histone acetylation and transcription: a dynamic perspective. *Mol Cell* 2006, 23:289-296.
- Gunderson FQ, Merkhofer EC, Johnson TL: Dynamic histone acetylation is critical for cotranscriptional spliceosome assembly and spliceosomal rearrangements. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2011, 108:2004-2009.
- Chang J, Varghese DS, Gillam MC, Peyton M, Modi B, Schiltz RL, Girard L, Martinez ED: Differential response of cancer cells to HDAC inhibitors trichostatin A and depsipeptide. Br J Cancer 2012, 106:116-125.
- Lössner C, Meier J, Warnken U, Rogers MA, Lichter P, Pscherer A, Schnölzer M: Quantitative proteomics identify novel miR-155 target proteins. *PLoS One* 2011, 6:e22146.

doi:10.1186/1868-7083-4-5

Cite this article as: Delcuve *et al.*: Roles of histone deacetylases in epigenetic regulation: emerging paradigms from studies with inhibitors. *Clinical Epigenetics* 2012 4:5.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

Page 13 of 13